arXiv:math-ph/0311028v1 19 Nov 2003

Conservation Laws and Variational Sequences in Gauge–Natural Theories*

L. Fatibene, M. Francaviglia and M. Palese
Department of Mathematics, University of Turin
Via C. Alberto 10, 10123 Turin, Italy
e–mails: FATIBENE@DM.UNITO.IT, FRANCAVIGLIA@DM.UNITO.IT,
PALESE@DM.UNITO.IT

Abstract

In the classical Lagrangian approach to conservation laws of gauge-natural field theories a suitable (vector) density is known to generate the so–called *conserved Noether currents*. It turns out that along any section of the relevant gauge–natural bundle this density is the divergence of a skew–symmetric (tensor) density, which is called a *superpotential* for the conserved currents.

We describe gauge–natural superpotentials in the framework of finite order variational sequences according to Krupka. We refer to previous results of ours on *variational Lie derivatives* concerning abstract versions of Noether's theorems, which are here interpreted in terms of "horizontal" and "vertical" conserved currents. The gauge–natural lift of principal automorphisms implies suitable linearity properties of the Lie derivative operator. Thus abstract results due to Kolář, concerning the integration by parts procedure, can be applied to prove the *existence* and *globality* of superpotentials in a very general setting.

Key words: Fibered manifold, jet space, variational sequence, symmetries, conservation laws, superpotentials.
1991 MSC: 58A12, 58A20, 58E30, 58Z05, 70H33, 83E99.

1 Introduction

Our framework is the calculus of variations on finite order fibered manifolds. In the classical Lagrangian formulation of field theories the description of symmetries amounts to define a suitable vector density which generates the conserved currents; this density is found to be the divergence of a skew–symmetric tensor density, which is called a *superpotential* for the conserved currents (see *e.g.* [2, 4] and references quoted therein).

It is well known that symmetries enable us to better understand the structure of physical theories. It is also known that in general physical fields are not natural objects, *i.e.* there is no canonical way of associating a transformation of the relevant configuration bundle to each diffeomorphism of its basis (conventionally "space–time"). In order to apply Noether's theorems to provide conserved quantities (like energy, momentum and angular momentum) for these theories we thence need further structures to provide an operative definition of the notion of "horizontal" symmetries. On the

---

*Work partially supported by GNFM of INDAM, MURST, University of Turin.

other hand, "vertical" symmetries are associated to others conserved quantities, like *e.g.* "charges" in gauge theories.

The gauge–natural setting [1, 2, 11, 13] is the most suitable one for an exhaustive description of this physical situation. *Gauge–natural bundles* of any given order, turn out to be fiber bundles associated to a suitable prolongation of a principal bundle $\boldsymbol{P}$ with an arbitrary structure group $\boldsymbol{G}$ [1, 13]. The "structure bundle" $\boldsymbol{P}$ encodes global properties of the group of so–called gauge transformations so that a canonical treatment of gauge symmetries can be achieved. In previously existing frameworks symmetries were, in fact, defined as forming an arbitrary (often unspecified) subgroup of automorphisms of the associated bundle. On the contrary, in a gauge–natural theory the existence of preferred gauge–natural lifts of automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}$ implies that the very knowledge of the structure bundle $\boldsymbol{P}$ of the associated bundle allows a suitable unifying description of gauge invariance and covariance properties, thence of conserved quantities.

The subgroup of so–called *pure gauge transformations* corresponds to vertical automorphisms of the structure bundle $\boldsymbol{P}$. However, there is no canonical way to define a *complementary* subgroup of *horizontal* automorphisms. Dynamical connections over the structure bundle (*i.e.* connections over the configuration bundle induced by principal connections over $\boldsymbol{P}$ together with linear connections over the frame bundle $L(\boldsymbol{X})$) enable us to define the *infinitesimal horizontal transformations* which are related to conserved quantities such as energy, momentum and angular momentum. Dynamical connections can also be used to solve some ambiguities in the definition of the Poincaré–Cartan morphism, conserved currents and superpotentials [8, 27].

Following [20, 21], we consider one of the recent geometrical formulations of the Calculus of Variations on finite order jets of fibered manifolds. As it is well known, in this formulation the *variational sequence* is defined as a quotient of the de Rham sequence on a finite order jet space with respect to an intrinsically defined subsequence, the "contact" subsequence, so that only "variationally relevant" objects are defined there (see *e.g.* [24, 26, 27]).

In a previous work [8] we already provided a description of superpotentials in the framework of variational sequences for natural field theories. In this paper we shall generalize these results to the much larger class of gauge–natural theories, showing how the (non–natural) added structure reflects into the framework. We make use of the representation of the quotient sheaves of the variational sequence as concrete sheaves of forms given in [27] and of previous results of ours on *variational Lie derivatives* which provide suitable formulations of Noether's Theorems [7]. Furthermore, we refer to some abstract results concerning *global* decomposition formulae of morphisms, involved with the integration by parts procedure [10, 16, 17]. In order to apply this results, we stress linearity properties of the Lie derivative operator, which rely on properties of the gauge–natural lift of principal automorphisms. In this way we provide an intrinsic proof of *existence* and *globality* of superpotentials for gauge–natural theories.

Here, manifolds and maps between manifolds are C^{∞}. All morphisms of fibered manifolds (and hence bundles) will be morphisms over the identity of the base manifold, unless otherwise specified. As for sheaves, we will use the definitions and the main results given in [28].

2 Jet spaces and variational sequences

In this Section we recall some basic facts about jet spaces [3, 23, 25] and Krupka's formulation of the finite order variational sequence [20, 27].

2.1 Jet spaces

Here we introduce jet spaces of a fibered manifold and the sheaves of forms on the r–th order jet space. Moreover, we recall the notion of horizontal and vertical differential [25].

Our framework is a fibered manifold $\pi : \boldsymbol{Y} \to \boldsymbol{X}$, with $\dim \boldsymbol{X} = n$ and $\dim \boldsymbol{Y} = n+m$.

For $r \geq 0$ we are concerned with the r–jet space $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$; in particular, we set $J_0\boldsymbol{Y} \equiv \boldsymbol{Y}$. We recall the natural fiberings $\pi^r_s : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to J_s\boldsymbol{Y}$, $r \geq s$, $\pi^r : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to \boldsymbol{X}$, and, among these, the *affine* fiberings π^r_{r-1}. We denote with $V\boldsymbol{Y}$ the vector subbundle of the tangent bundle $T\boldsymbol{Y}$ of vectors on $\boldsymbol{Y}$ which are vertical with respect to the fibering π.

Charts on $\boldsymbol{Y}$ adapted to π are denoted by (x^σ, y^i). Greek indices $\sigma, \mu, \ldots$ run from 1 to n and they label base coordinates, while Latin indices $i, j, \ldots$ run from 1 to m and label fibre coordinates, unless otherwise specified. We denote by $(\partial_\sigma, \partial_i)$ and (d^σ, d^i) the local bases of vector fields and 1–forms on $\boldsymbol{Y}$ induced by an adapted chart, respectively.

We denote multi–indices of dimension n by boldface Greek letters such as $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, with $0 \leq \alpha_\mu$, $\mu = 1, \ldots, n$; by an abuse of notation, we denote with σ the multi–index such that $\alpha_\mu = 0$, if $\mu \neq \sigma$, $\alpha_\mu = 1$, if $\mu = \sigma$. We also set $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}! := \alpha_1! \ldots \alpha_n!$.

The charts induced on $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$ are denoted by $(x^\sigma, y^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$, with $0 \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq r$; in particular, we set $y^i_{\boldsymbol{0}} \equiv y^i$. The local vector fields and forms of $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$ induced by the above coordinates are denoted by $(\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i)$ and $(d^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$, respectively.

In the theory of variational sequences a fundamental role is played by the *contact maps* on jet spaces (see [3, 20, 21, 23]). Namely, for $r \geq 1$, we consider the natural complementary fibered morphisms over $J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}$

$$\mathcal{D} : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} T\boldsymbol{X} \to TJ_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}\,, \qquad \vartheta : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} TJ_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y} \to VJ_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}\,,$$

with coordinate expressions, for $0 \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq r-1$, given by

$$\mathcal{D} \quad = d^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{D}_\lambda = d^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + y^j_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\lambda}\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_j)\,, \vartheta \quad = \vartheta^j_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \otimes \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_j = (d^j_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - y^j_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\lambda} d^\lambda) \otimes \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_j\,.$$

We have

$$J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} T^*J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y} = \left(J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} T^*\boldsymbol{X} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}^*_{r-1}[\boldsymbol{Y}]\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^*_{r-1}[\boldsymbol{Y}] := \operatorname{im} \vartheta^*_r$ and $\vartheta^*_r : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} V^*J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y} \to J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} T^*J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}$. We have the isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^*_{r-1}[\boldsymbol{Y}] \simeq J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} V^*J_{r-1}\boldsymbol{Y}$.

If $f : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to I\!\!R$ is a function, then we set $D_\sigma f := \mathcal{D}_\sigma f$, $D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\sigma} f := D_\sigma D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f$, where D_σ is the standard *formal derivative*. Given a vector field $Z : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to TJ_r\boldsymbol{Y}$, the splitting (1) yields $Z \circ \pi^{r+1}_r = Z_H + Z_V$ where, if $Z = Z^\gamma \partial_\gamma + Z^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i$, then we have $Z_H = Z^\gamma D_\gamma$ and $Z_V = (Z^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - y^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\gamma} Z^\gamma)\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i$.

The splitting (1) induces also a decomposition of the exterior differential on $\boldsymbol{Y}$, $(\pi^{r+1}_r)^* \circ d = d_H + d_V$, where d_H and d_V are defined to be the *horizontal* and *vertical differential*. The action of d_H and d_V on functions and 1–forms on $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$ uniquely characterizes d_H and d_V (see, *e.g.*, [25, 27] for more details).

A *projectable vector field* on $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is defined to be a pair (Ξ, ξ), where $\Xi : \boldsymbol{Y} \to T\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\xi : \boldsymbol{X} \to T\boldsymbol{X}$ are vector fields and Ξ is a fibered morphism over ξ. If there is no danger of confusion, we will denote simply by Ξ a projectable vector field (Ξ, ξ).

A projectable vector field (Ξ, ξ), with coordinate expression $\Xi = \xi^\sigma \partial_\sigma + \xi^i \partial_i$, $\xi = \xi^\sigma \partial_\sigma$, can be conveniently prolonged to a projectable vector field $(j_r\Xi, \xi)$, whose coordinate expression turns out to be

$$j_r\Xi = \xi^\sigma \partial_\sigma + \left(D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\xi^i - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}{\boldsymbol{\beta}!\boldsymbol{\gamma}!} D_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\xi^\mu \, y^i_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}+\mu} \right) \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i \,,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq 0$ and $0 \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq r$ (see [23, 25]); in particular, we have the following expressions $(j_r\Xi)_H = \xi^\sigma \, D_\sigma$, $(j_r\Xi)_V = D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\Xi_V)^i \, \partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i$, with $(\Xi_V)^i = \xi^i - y^i_\sigma \xi^\sigma$. From now on, by an abuse of notation, we will write simply $j_r\Xi_H$ and $j_r\Xi_V$.

2.2 Variational sequences

We shall be here concerned with some distinguished sheaves of forms on jet spaces [3, 20, 21, 25, 27]. Due to the topological triviality of the fibre of $J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to \boldsymbol{Y}$, we will consider sheaves on $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$ with respect to the topology generated by open sets of the kind $(\pi^r_0)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{U})$, with $\boldsymbol{U} \subset \boldsymbol{Y}$ open in $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

i. For $r \geq 0$, we consider the standard sheaves Λ^p_r of p–forms on $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$.

ii. For $0 \leq s \leq r$, we consider the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^p_{(r,s)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^p_r$ of *horizontal forms*, *i.e.* of local fibered morphisms over π^r_s and π^r of the type $\alpha : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to \overset{p}{\wedge} T^*J_s\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\beta : J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to \overset{p}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}$, respectively.

iii. For $0 \leq s < r$, we consider the subsheaf $\mathcal{C}^p_{(r,s)} \subset \mathcal{H}^p_{(r,s)}$ of *contact forms*, *i.e.* of sections $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^p_{(r,s)}$ with values into $\overset{p}{\wedge}(\mathrm{im}\, \vartheta^*_{s+1})$. We have the distinguished subsheaf $\mathcal{C}^p{}_r \subset \mathcal{C}^p_{(r+1,r)}$ of local fibered morphisms $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^p_{(r+1,r)}$ such that $\alpha = \overset{p}{\wedge}\vartheta^*_{r+1} \circ \tilde{\alpha}$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a section of the fibration $J_{r+1}\boldsymbol{Y} \underset{J_r\boldsymbol{Y}}{\times} \overset{p}{\wedge} V^*J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to J_{r+1}\boldsymbol{Y}$ which projects down onto $J_r\boldsymbol{Y}$.

According to [27], the fibered splitting (1) yields the sheaf splitting $\mathcal{H}^p_{(r+1,r)} = \bigoplus_{t=0}^p \mathcal{C}^{p-t}_{(r+1,r)} \wedge \mathcal{H}^t_{r+1}$, which restricts to the inclusion $\Lambda^p_r \subset \bigoplus_{t=0}^p \mathcal{C}^{p-t}{}_r \wedge \mathcal{H}^{t\,h}{}_{r+1}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{p\,h}{}_{r+1} := h(\Lambda^p_r)$ for $0 < p \leq n$ and h is defined to be the restriction to Λ^p_r of the projection of the above splitting onto the non–trivial summand with the highest value of t. We define also the map $v := id - h$.

We recall now the theory of variational sequences on finite order jet spaces, as it was developed by Krupka in [20].

By an abuse of notation, let us denote by $d \ker h$ the sheaf generated by the presheaf $d \ker h$ (see [28]). We set $\Theta^*_r := \ker h + d \ker h$.

In [20] it was proved that the following diagram is commutative and that its rows and columns are exact:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Theta^1_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \Theta^2_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r, "d"] & \Theta^I_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^0_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^1_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^2_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r, "d"] & \Lambda^I_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^{I+1}_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r, "d"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^0_r \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_0"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^1_r/\Theta^1_r \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_1"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^2_r/\Theta^2_r \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_2"] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_{I-1}"] & \Lambda^I_r/\Theta^I_r \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_I"] \arrow[d] & \Lambda^{I+1}_r \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r, "d"] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 1 The top row of the above diagram is said to be the *contact sequence*; the bottom row is said to be the r–th order *variational sequence* associated with the fibered manifold $\boldsymbol{Y} \to \boldsymbol{X}$. ☐

Notice that, in general, the highest integer I depends on the dimension of the fibers of $J_r\boldsymbol{Y} \to \boldsymbol{X}$.

We can consider the 'short' variational sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^0_r \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathcal{V}^1_r \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_1} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_n} \mathcal{V}^{n+1}_r \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(\mathcal{V}^{n+1}_r) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{n+2}} 0\,,$$

where the sheaves $\mathcal{V}^p_r$ with $0 \leq p \leq n+2$ can be conveniently represented as shown in [27]. By means of this representation, in [7] we gave a suitable formulation of Noether's Theorem which will be useful in the sequel.

Remark 1 We recall that a section $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}n_r$ is just a Lagrangian of order $(r+1)$ of the standard literature. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}^{n+1}_r$ coincides with the standard higher order Euler–Lagrange morphism associated with λ. ☐

3 Gauge–natural Lagrangian theories

We recall that in *gauge–natural* physical theories the fields are assumed to be sections of gauge–natural bundles, so that right–invariant automorphisms of the structure bundle $\boldsymbol{P}$ define uniquely the transformation laws of the fields themselves (see *e.g.* [1, 13]). In the following, we shall develop a geometrical setting which enables us to define and investigate the physically fundamental concept of conserved quantity in gauge–natural theories.

3.1 Gauge–natural prolongations

First we shall recall some basic definitions and properties concerning gauge–natural prolongations of (structure) principal bundles.

Let $\boldsymbol{P} \to \boldsymbol{X}$ be a principal bundle with structure group $\boldsymbol{G}$. Let $r \leq k$ and $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P} := J_r\boldsymbol{P} \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} L_k(\boldsymbol{X})$, where $L_k(\boldsymbol{X})$ is the bundle of k–frames in $\boldsymbol{X}$ [1, 11, 13], $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G} := J_r\boldsymbol{G} \odot GL_k(n)$ the semidirect product with respect to the action of $GL_k(n)$ on $J_r\boldsymbol{G}$ given by the jet composition and $GL_k(n)$ is the group of k–frames in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Elements of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ are given by $(j^{\boldsymbol{x}}_r\gamma, j^0_k t)$, with $\gamma : \boldsymbol{X} \to \boldsymbol{P}$ a local section, $t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \boldsymbol{X}$ locally invertible at zero, with $t(0) = \boldsymbol{x}$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}$. Elements of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ are $(j^0_r g, j^0_k\alpha)$, where $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \boldsymbol{G}$, $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ locally invertible at zero, with $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Remark 2 $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ is a principal bundle over $\boldsymbol{X}$ with structure group $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$. The right action of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ on the fibers of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ is defined by the composition of jets (see, *e.g.*, [11, 13]). $\square$

Definition 2 The principal bundle $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ is said to be the *gauge–natural prolongation of order* (r,k) *of* $\boldsymbol{P}$. $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ is said to be the *gauge–natural prolongation of order* (r,k) *of* $\boldsymbol{G}$. $\square$

Remark 3 Let (Φ,ϕ) be a principal automorphism of $\boldsymbol{P}$ [13]. It can be prolonged in a natural way to a principal automorphism of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$, defined by:

$$\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}(\Phi,\phi) : (j^{\boldsymbol{x}}_r\gamma, j^0_k t) \mapsto (j^{\phi(\boldsymbol{x})}_r(\Phi\circ\gamma\circ\phi^{-1}), j^0_k(\phi\circ t)) \,.$$

The induced automorphism $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}(\Phi,\phi)$ is an equivariant automorphism of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ with respect to the action of the structure group $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$. We shall simply denote it by the same symbol Φ, if there is no danger of confusion. $\square$

Definition 3 We define the *vector* bundle over $\boldsymbol{X}$ of right–invariant infinitesimal automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}$ by setting $\mathcal{A} = T\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}$.

We also define the *vector* bundle over $\boldsymbol{X}$ of right invariant infinitesimal automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ by setting $\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} := T\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ $(r \leq k)$.

$\square$

Remark 4 We have the following projections

$$\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(r',k')} \,, \qquad r \leq k \,, \quad r' \leq k' \,,$$

with $r \geq r'$, $s \geq s'$. $\square$

Example 1 Let $(\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{X},\pi;\boldsymbol{G})$ be a principal bundle and $(U_\alpha, \gamma^{(\alpha)})$ a trivialization. The infinitesimal generator of the flow (Φ_t,ϕ_t) is then given by

$$\Xi = \xi^\mu(\boldsymbol{x})\partial_\mu + \xi^A(\boldsymbol{x})\rho_A \,, \tag{2}$$

where ρ_A is a pointwise basis of vertical right–invariant vector fields on $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}$. Here $\xi^\mu(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{d}{dt}[(\phi)_t(\boldsymbol{x})]_{t=0}$, $\xi^A(\boldsymbol{x}) = (T^{-1})^A_a \frac{d}{dt}[(\Phi)^a_t(\boldsymbol{x})]_{t=0}$, $(\Phi_t;\phi_t) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{P})$ being a 1–parameter flow of automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $T_A = T^a_A\partial^{(e)}_a \in T_e\boldsymbol{G}$ being a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \equiv T_e\boldsymbol{G}$. $\square$

Example 2 Let Ξ be a right invariant vector field on $\boldsymbol{P}$ with structure group $\boldsymbol{G} = GL(m)$. The coordinate expression of the gauge–natural prolonged right–invariant vector field $\bar{\Xi}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{(1,1)}$ are given by (see [2])

$$\bar{\Xi} = \xi^\mu\partial_\mu + \xi^a_c\rho^c_a + \partial_\nu\xi^a_c\rho^{c\nu}_a + \partial_\nu\xi^\mu\rho^\nu_\mu \,, \tag{3}$$

where $\rho^c_a = g^c_b\partial^a_b + g^c_{b\nu}\partial^{b\nu}_a$, $\rho^{c\nu}_a = g^c_b\partial^{b\nu}_a$, $\rho^\nu_\mu = \epsilon^\nu_\alpha\partial^\alpha_\mu - g^a_{b\mu}\partial^{b\nu}_a$ are a basis of vertical right–invariant vector fields on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P}$ while $(x^\mu, g^a_b, g^a_{b\mu})$ and $(x^\mu, \epsilon^\mu_\alpha)$ are local coordinates on $J_1\boldsymbol{P}$ and $L(\boldsymbol{X})$, respectively. $\square$

3.2 Gauge–natural bundles

We recall that gauge–natural bundles are always of a finite order (r,k) [1]. They are (isomorphic to) bundles associated to principal prolongations of principal bundles, so that the study of gauge–natural bundles can be reduced to the representation theory of the Lie groups $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ (see [1] and references quoted in [13]).

Let $\boldsymbol{F}$ be any manifold and $\zeta : \boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G} \times \boldsymbol{F} \to \boldsymbol{F}$ be a left action of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ on $\boldsymbol{F}$. There is a naturally defined rigth action of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P} \times \boldsymbol{F}$ so that we can associate in a standard way to $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ the bundle, on the given basis $\boldsymbol{X}$, $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta := \boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\zeta \boldsymbol{F}$.

Definition 4 We say $(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta, \boldsymbol{X}, \pi_\zeta; \boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{G})$ to be the *gauge-natural bundle* of order (r,k) associated to the principal bundle $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ by means of the left action ζ of the group $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{G}$ on the manifold $\boldsymbol{F}$. □

Remark 5 A principal automorphism Φ of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ induces an automorphism of the gauge–natural bundle by:

$$\Phi_\zeta : \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta : [(j^x_r\gamma, j^0_k t), \hat{f}]_\zeta \mapsto [\Phi(j^x_r\gamma, j^0_k t), \hat{f}]_\zeta \,, \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{f} \in \boldsymbol{F}$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]_\zeta$ is the equivalence class induced by the action ζ. □

Example 3 The associated bundle $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\mu (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g})$, where μ is the natural action of $J_1\boldsymbol{G} \odot GL(n)$ over $(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g})$, is a gauge–natural bundle of order $(1,1)$. A given automorphism of the principal bundle $\boldsymbol{P}$ acts on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\mu \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ by means of the canonical action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{P})$. Global section of $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\mu (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ are in a one–to–one correspondence with right–invariant infinitesimal automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}$. In fact it is easy to prove that $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\mu (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ is a *vector* bundle (see [2, 11]) and that the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1,1)}\boldsymbol{P} \times_\mu (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ holds true. □

3.3 Gauge–natural lift

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$ the sheaf of vector fields on $\boldsymbol{X}$ and the sheaf of right invariant vector fields on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$, respectively.

From now on we assume a functorial mapping is defined which lifts any right–invariant local automorphism (Φ, ϕ) of the principal bundle $W^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ into a unique local automorphism (Φ_ζ, ϕ) of the associated bundle $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$. This lifting depends linearly on derivatives of ξ^A and ξ^μ up to order r and k, respectively. Its infinitesimal version associates to any $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$, projectable over $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$, a unique *projectable* vector field $\hat{\Xi} := \mathcal{N}(\bar{\Xi})$ on $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ in the following way:

$$\mathcal{N} : \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to T\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \; : (\boldsymbol{y}, \bar{\Xi}) \mapsto \hat{\Xi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \,, \tag{5}$$

where, for any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, one sets: $\hat{\Xi}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{d}{dt}[(\Phi_{\zeta\, t})(\boldsymbol{y})]_{t=0}$, and $\Phi_{\zeta\, t}$ denotes the (local) flow corresponding to the gauge–natural lift of Φ_t.

This mapping fulfils the following properties:

1. $\mathcal{N}$ is linear over $id_{\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta}$;
2. we have $T\pi_\zeta \circ \mathcal{N} = id_{T\boldsymbol{X}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{(r,k)}$, where $\bar{\pi}^{(r,k)}$ is the natural projection $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to T\boldsymbol{X}$;

3. for any pair $(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\Xi})$ of vector fields in $\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$, we have
$$\mathcal{N}([\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\Xi}]) = [\mathcal{N}(\bar{\Lambda}), \mathcal{N}(\bar{\Xi})]\,.$$
4. we have the coordinate expression of $\mathcal{N}$
$$\mathcal{N} = d^\mu \otimes \partial_\mu + d^A_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \otimes (\mathcal{Z}^{i\boldsymbol{\nu}}_A \partial_i) + d^\nu_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes (\mathcal{Z}^{i\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_\nu \partial_i)\,, \tag{6}$$
with $0 < |\boldsymbol{\nu}| < k$, $1 < |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| < r$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{i\boldsymbol{\nu}}_A$, $\mathcal{Z}^{i\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_\nu \in C^\infty(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta)$ are suitable functions which depend on the bundle.

Definition 5 The map $\mathcal{N}$ is called the *gauge–natural lifting functor*. The vector field $\hat{\Xi} \equiv \mathcal{N}(\bar{\Xi})$ is called the *gauge–natural lift* of $(\bar{\Xi}, \xi)$ to the bundle $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$. □

3.4 Principal connections

Let $(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{X}, \pi; \boldsymbol{G})$ be a principal fiber bundle. Vertical vector fields on $\boldsymbol{P}$ are intrinsic objects, while the notion of horizontal vector fields on $\boldsymbol{P}$ is given by means of a principal connection on $\boldsymbol{P}$ (see[13]).

It is well known that a principal connection over $\boldsymbol{P}$ can be represented by means of a $\mathfrak{g}$–valued 1–form ω over $\boldsymbol{P}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ being the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\boldsymbol{G}$. This form has, locally, the coordinate expression:
$$\omega = d^\mu \otimes (\partial_\mu + \omega^A_\mu(\boldsymbol{x}) \rho_A)\,.$$

A principal connection on $\boldsymbol{P}$ induces a splitting of the exact sequence of vector bundles over $\boldsymbol{X}$:
$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r] & T\boldsymbol{X} \arrow[r] & 0\,, \end{tikzcd}$$
so that we have the (non–canonical) isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \simeq V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G} \oplus T\boldsymbol{X}$.

Let ω be a principal connection on $\boldsymbol{P}$. An infinitesimal automorphism of $\boldsymbol{P}$ is then given in the splitted form
$$\Xi = \Xi_h + \Xi_v = \xi^\mu(\partial_\mu + \omega^A_\mu \rho_A) + (\xi^A - \omega^A_\mu \xi^\mu)\rho_A\,. \tag{7}$$
We shall respectively indicate by Ξ_v and Ξ_h the vertical and horizontal components of Ξ with respect to the principal connection ω; furthermore we shall write $\xi^A_v = \xi^A - \omega^A_\mu \xi^\mu$.

By a straightforward but tedious coordinate calculus it is easy to verify the following (see also [14], [15] Proposition 4, and references quoted therein).

Lemma 1 *Let ω be a principal connection on $\boldsymbol{P}$ and Γ a linear symmetric connection on $L(\boldsymbol{X})$. Let $\bar{\omega} \equiv \boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}(\omega, \Gamma)$ be the connection induced on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ by the gauge–natural prolongation functor. Then $\bar{\omega}$ is a principal connection on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$.*

Then we have the following:

Proposition 1 *Let ω be a principal connection on $\boldsymbol{P}$ and Γ a linear symmetric connection on $L(\boldsymbol{X})$ such that $\bar{\omega}$ is the prolongation of ω with respect to Γ in the sense of [14]. A global, non–canonical, isomorphism holds:*
$$\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \simeq_{(\omega,\Gamma)} J_r(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_k T\boldsymbol{X}\,. \tag{8}$$

Proof. The gauge–natural lift of the splitting of Ξ by means of ω corresponds to the splitting of $\bar{\Xi}$ by means of the induced principal connection $\bar{\omega}$ on $\boldsymbol{W}^{(r,k)}\boldsymbol{P}$ depending on (ω, Γ). This specifies the isomorphism. $\boxed{QED}$

3.5 Lie derivative

Let γ be a (local) section of $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$ and $\hat{\Xi}$ its gauge–natural lift. Following [13] we define a (local) section $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma : \boldsymbol{X} \to V\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, by setting: $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma = T\gamma \circ \xi - \hat{\Xi} \circ \gamma$.

Definition 6 The (local) section $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma$ is called the *generalized Lie derivative* of γ along the vector field $\hat{\Xi}$. ☐

Remark 6 This section is a vertical prolongation of γ, *i.e.* it satisfies the property: $\nu_{\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta} \circ \pounds_{\Xi}\gamma = \gamma$, where $\nu_{\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta}$ is the projection $\nu_{\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta} : V\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$. Its coordinate expression is given by $(\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma)^i = \xi^\sigma \partial_\sigma \gamma^i - \hat{\Xi}^i$.

Furthermore, we can consider $\pounds$ as a bundle morphism

$$\pounds : J_1\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to V\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \,. \tag{9}$$

☐

Remark 7 The Lie derivative operator satisfies the following properties:

1. for any vector field $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$, the mapping $\gamma \mapsto \pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma$ is a first–order quasilinear differential operator;
2. for any local section γ of $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, the mapping $\bar{\Xi} \mapsto \pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma$ is a linear differential operator depending on the derivatives of ξ^A up to order r and on the derivatives of ξ^μ up to order k, respectively;
3. by using the canonical isomorphism $VJ_r\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \simeq J_rV\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, we have $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}[j_r\gamma] = j_r[\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}\gamma]$, for any (local) section γ of $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ and for any (local) vector field $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$.

☐

4 Gauge–natural Lagrangians and their symmetries

We consider now a projectable vector field $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ on $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ and take into account the Lie derivative with respect to its prolongation $j_s\hat{\Xi}$. Such a prolonged vector field preserves the fiberings; hence it preserves the natural splitting (1).

It is known [7] that the standard Lie derivative operator with respect to the r-th prolongation $j_s\hat{\Xi}$ of a projectable vector field $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ on $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ passes to the quotient spaces Λ^p_s/Θ^p_s so that it can be represented by an operator (the *variational Lie derivative*) on the sheaves of the short variational sequence associated with $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$. In the case $p = n$ we have in particular

$$\mathcal{L}_{j_s\hat{\Xi}} : \mathcal{V}^n_s \to \mathcal{V}^n_{2s+1} : \lambda \mapsto \hat{\Xi}_V \rfloor \mathcal{E}(\lambda) + d_H(j_s\bar{\Xi}_V \rfloor p_{d_V\lambda} + \xi \rfloor \lambda) \,, \tag{10}$$

where $p_{d_V\lambda}$ is a momentum associated to λ (see [3, 7, 27]) and the subscript V here means the vertical component with respect to the natural splitting (1).

Variational Lie derivatives allow us to compute 'variationally relevant' infinitesimal symmetries of Lagrangians in the variational sequence.

Definition 7 Let $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ be a projectable vector field on $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}^n_s$ be a generalized Lagrangian. We say $\hat{\Xi}$ to be a *symmetry* of λ if $\mathcal{L}_{j_{s+1}\hat{\Xi}}\,\lambda = 0$.

We say λ to be a *gauge–natural Lagrangian* if the lift $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ of any vector field $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$ is a symmetry for λ, *i.e.* if $\mathcal{L}_{j_{s+1}\bar{\Xi}}\,\lambda = 0$. In this case the projectable vector field $\hat{\Xi} \equiv \mathcal{N}(\bar{\Xi})$ is called a *gauge–natural symmetry* of λ. ☐

Remark 8 We can regard $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} : J_1\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to V\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ as a morphism over the basis $\boldsymbol{X}$. In this case it is meaningful to consider the (standard) prolongation of $\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}}$, denoted by $j_s\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} : J_{s+1}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to VJ_s\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, where we made use of the isomorphism (*iii*) recalled in Remark 7. ☐

Symmetries of a Lagrangian λ are calculated by means of Noether's Theorem, which takes a particularly interesting form in the case of gauge–natural Lagrangians.

Theorem 1 *(Noether's Theorem for gauge–natural Lagrangians) Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}^n_s$ be a gauge-natural Lagrangian and $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ a gauge–natural symmetry of λ. Then by* (10) *we have*

$$0 = -\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} \rfloor \mathcal{E}(\lambda) + d_H(-j_s\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} \rfloor p_{d_V\lambda} + \xi \rfloor \lambda)\,. \tag{11}$$

Suppose that the section $\sigma : \boldsymbol{X} \to \boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ fulfills the condition

$$(j_{2s+1}\sigma)^*(-\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} \rfloor \mathcal{E}(\lambda)) = 0\,. \tag{12}$$

Then, the $(n-1)$–form

$$\epsilon = -j_s\pounds_{\bar{\Xi}} \rfloor p_{d_V\lambda} + \xi \rfloor \lambda\,, \tag{13}$$

fulfills the equation $d((j_{2s}\sigma)^*(\epsilon)) = 0$.

Remark 9 If σ is a critical section for $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, *i.e.* $(j_{2s+1}\sigma)^*\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = 0$, the above equation admits a physical interpretation as a *weak conservation law* for the density associated with ϵ. ☐

Definition 8 Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}^n_s$ be a gauge–natural Lagrangian and $\bar{\Xi} \in \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$. Then the sheaf morphism ϵ is said to be a *gauge–natural conserved current.* ☐

Remark 10 In general, this conserved current is not uniquely defined. In fact, it depends on the choice of $p_{d_V\lambda}$, which is not unique (see [27] and references quoted therein). Moreover, we could add to the conserved current any form $\beta \in \mathcal{V}^{n-1}_{2s}$ which is variationally closed, *i.e.* such that $\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(\beta) = 0$ holds. The form β is locally of the type $\beta = d_H\gamma$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}^{n-2}_{2s+1}$. ☐

Proposition 1 enables us to state a technical lemma which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2 *Let $\alpha : J_s(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}) \to \overset{p}{\wedge}T^*\boldsymbol{X}$ be a linear morphism with respect to the fibering $J_s\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_s\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to J_s\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$ and let D_H be the horizontal differential on $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$. We can uniquely write α as*

$$\overline{\alpha} : J_s\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_{s+r}[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_{s+k}[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{p}{\wedge}T^*\boldsymbol{X})\,.$$

Then

$$\overline{D_H\alpha} = D_H\overline{\alpha}\,. \tag{14}$$

Proof. This is a naturality property of D_H which follows from the linearity of $D_H\alpha$ with respect to the fibering $J_{s+1}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_{s+1}\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to J_{s+1}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta$, the non–canonical isomorphism (8) and the isomorphisms $J_sT\boldsymbol{X} \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (J_sT\boldsymbol{X})^* \simeq V^*J_sT\boldsymbol{X}$, $J_s(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (J_s(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}))^* \simeq V^*J_s(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})$, $\mathcal{C}^*_{s+1}[T\boldsymbol{X}] \wedge \overset{p+1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X} \simeq V^*J_{s+1}T\boldsymbol{X} \otimes \overset{p+1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}$, $\mathcal{C}^*_{s+1}[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \wedge \overset{p+1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X} \simeq V^*J_{s+1}(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}) \otimes \overset{p+1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}$. $\boxed{\mathit{QED}}$

We stress that the above result depends on a non–canonical isomorphism and specifically on the choice of (ω, Γ).

Remark 11 Let $\epsilon : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to \overset{n-1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}$ be a conserved current. As an immediate consequence of the above lemma we have that, making use of (14), we can regard ϵ as the equivalent morphism $\overline{\epsilon} : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{n-1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})$. $\square$

5 Superpotentials

As is well known in gauge–natural lagrangian theories [2], performing covariant integrations by parts enables us to decompose the current ϵ into the sum of the so–called *reduced current* and the formal divergence of a skew–symmetric tensor density called *superpotential* (which is defined modulo a divergence). Conservation laws which occur in gauge–natural theories are *strong laws*, *i.e.* they hold along any (not necessarily critical) section of the bundle. Along critical sections the reduced current vanishes identically so that the current ϵ is not only closed, but it is also exact along solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equations.

Remark 12 Let λ be a gauge–natural Lagrangian. By the linearity of $\pounds$ with respect to the vector bundle structure $J_r(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_kT\boldsymbol{X} \to \boldsymbol{X}$ we have

$$\mu \equiv \mu(\lambda) = \pounds\rfloor\mathcal{E}(\lambda) : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{n}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})\,. \;\square$$

In the following we shall give the main result which enables us to describe gauge–natural superpotentials in the short variational sequence. We shall apply to the 'total' space $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} T\boldsymbol{X}$ a standard result concerning the integration by parts procedure involved in variational formulae (see *e.g.* [7, 8, 27]).

The following Lemma is an application of an abstract result due to Kolář and Horák [10, 17] concerning a global decomposition formula for suitable morphisms.

Lemma 3 *Let* $\mu : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{p}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})$, *with* $0 \leq p \leq n$ *and let* $D_H\mu = 0$. *We regard* μ *as the extended morphism* $\hat{\mu} : J_{2s}(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}) \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{p}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})$. *Then we have globally*

$$\hat{\mu} = E_{\hat{\mu}} + F_{\hat{\mu}}\,,$$

where

$$E_{\hat{\mu}} : J_{2s}(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}) \to (\mathcal{C}^*_0[\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_0[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{p}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})\,,$$

locally, $F_{\hat{\mu}} = D_H M_{\hat{\mu}}$*, with*

$$M_{\hat{\mu}} : J_{2s-1}(\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}) \to (\mathcal{C}^*_{r-1}[\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} (V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_{k-1}[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{p-1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}) \,.$$

PROOF. $E_{\hat{\mu}}$ and $D_H M_{\hat{\mu}}$ can be evaluated by means of backwards procedures (see *e.g.* [3, 16]). $\boxed{QED}$

Remark 13 In general there is no uniquely determined $M_{\hat{\mu}}$. In fact it can be proved that a linear symmetric connection on $\boldsymbol{X}$ yields a distinguished choice of $M_{\hat{\mu}}$ in analogy to [16], Prop. 1; see also [3, 6]. □

Theorem 2 *Let* $\hat{\mu}$ *be of the type* $\mu : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{n}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X})$*, then the following decomposition formula holds*

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu} + D_H \tilde{\epsilon} \,, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu} := E_\mu : J_{2s}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_r[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_k[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge \overset{n}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X} \,,$$

and

$$\tilde{\epsilon} := M_\mu : J_{2s-1}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \to (\mathcal{C}^*_{r-1}[(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})] \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{C}^*_{k-1}[T\boldsymbol{X}]) \wedge (\overset{n-1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}) \,.$$

PROOF. We take into account that $D_H\mu$ is obviously vanishing, then the result is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3 with $p = n$. $\boxed{QED}$

Remark 14 For any (Ξ, ξ), the morphism $\tilde{\mu} \equiv \mathcal{E}(\mu((\Xi, \xi)))$ is identically vanishing. So, we have $\mu = D_H\tilde{\epsilon}$. We stress that these are just the *generalized Bianchi identities.* □

Definition 9 The form $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is said to be a *reduced current.* □

Remark 15 If the coordinate expression of μ is given by

$$\mu = (\mu^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i \vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \mu^{\boldsymbol{A}}_i \vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{A}}) \wedge \omega \,,$$

with $\vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ and $\vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ contact forms on $J_r(V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G})$ and $J_kT\boldsymbol{X}$ respectively, then the coordinate expression of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = (\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\sigma}_i \vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{A}+\sigma}_i \vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{A}}) \wedge \omega_\sigma \,,$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}+\sigma}_i$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{A}+\sigma}_i$ are (not uniquely) determined in terms of $\mu^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i$ and $\mu^{\boldsymbol{A}}_i$ (see *e.g.* [4, 16]). □

Corollary 1 *Let* $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}^n_s$ *be a gauge–natural Lagrangian and* $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ *a gauge–natural symmetry of* λ *according to Definition 7. Then, being* $\mu = D_H\epsilon$*, the following holds by virtue of Remark 14:*

$$D_H(\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}) = 0 \,. \tag{16}$$

Eq. (16) is referred as a gauge–natural 'strong conservation law' for the density $\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}$.

We can now state the following main result about the existence (and globality) of gauge–natural superpotentials in the framework of variational sequences.

Theorem 3 *Let* $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}^n_s$ *be a gauge–natural Lagrangian and* $(\hat{\Xi}, \xi)$ *a gauge–natural symmetry of* λ*. Then there exists a (global) sheaf morphism* $\eta \in \left(\mathcal{V}^{n-2}_{2s-1}\right)_{\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}}$ *such that*

$$D_H \eta = \epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}\,.$$

Proof.

1. (local existence) By applying Lemma 2, we can consider
$$\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon} : J_{2s-1}\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)} \to \overset{n-1}{\wedge} T^*\boldsymbol{X}\,,$$
then we take eq. (16) into account and we integrate over the variational sequence associated with $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$.
2. (global existence) Eq. (16) assures us that the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied, so we have
$$\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}} + D_H \eta\,,$$
where $\overline{\epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}}$ is vanishing because of a uniqueness argument. Globality follows from Lemma 3 for $p = n - 1$.

$\boxed{QED}$

Definition 10 We define the sheaf morphism η to be a *gauge-natural superpotential* of λ. ☐

Remark 16 As a consequence of Remarks 10 and 13, superpotentials are not defined uniquely. In fact the choice of linear symmetric connections over $\boldsymbol{X}$ generally yields distinguished superpotentials. ☐

Remark 17 Theorem 3 is based essentially on the vector bundle structure of $\mathcal{A}^{(r,k)}$. It is noteworthy that the non–canonical splitting (8) induces a decomposition of variational objects like conserved currents and superpotentials. This decomposition is not natural (see [1]) and the choice of a *dynamical* connection, *i.e.* a connection depending on physical fields together with their derivatives, turns out to be the physically most significant one.

We shall respectively denote by

$$\epsilon_h = -j_s \pounds_{\bar{\Xi}_h} \rfloor p_{d_V \lambda} + \xi \rfloor \lambda\,, \tag{17}$$

the "horizontal" (*i.e.* "natural") part and by

$$\epsilon_v = -j_s \pounds_{\bar{\Xi}_v} \rfloor p_{d_V \lambda} + \xi \rfloor \lambda\,, \tag{18}$$

the "vertical" (*i.e.* "gauge") part of the conserved current ϵ with respect to any non–canonical splitting.

We shall respectively denote by η_h and by η_v the "horizontal" (*i.e.* "natural") and the "vertical" (*i.e.* "gauge") part of the superpotential with respect to any non–canonical splitting.

☐

5.1 An example of application

In this Section we shall show how the formalism developed here enables us to obtain in a very straightforward way well known results concerning conserved quantities in the case of Einstein–Yang–Mills theories (see *e.g.* [2, 9] and references therein).

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}n_r$. Then the following coordinate expressions hold:

$$d_V\lambda = (d_V\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \wedge \omega\,,\ E_{d_V\lambda} = \mathcal{E}(\lambda)_i\vartheta^i \wedge \omega\,,\ p_{d_V\lambda} = p(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mu}\vartheta^i_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \wedge \omega_\mu\,.$$

It is known (see *e.g.* [16]) that

$$p(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\beta}\mu} = (d_V\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mu = \boldsymbol{\alpha}, |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = r\,, \tag{19}$$

$$p(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\beta}\mu} = (d_V\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - D_\nu p(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\nu} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mu = \boldsymbol{\alpha}, |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = r-1\,, \tag{20}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (d_V\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - D_\nu p(\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\nu} \qquad |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = 0\,. \tag{21}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)_i = \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\leq r}(-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}D_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(d_V\lambda)_i^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$.

Let $(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{X}, \pi; \boldsymbol{G})$ be a principal bundle, g a metric on $\boldsymbol{X}$, k an *ad*–invariant metric on $\boldsymbol{G}$. Let ω be a principal connection and F its $\mathfrak{g}$–valued curvature 2–form.

Let us now take the *gauge–natural* bundle $\boldsymbol{Y} = Lor(\boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} \boldsymbol{C}$, where $Lor(\boldsymbol{X})$ is the bundle of Lorentzian metrics over space–time $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$ is the affine bundle of principal connections ω over $\boldsymbol{P}$, whose associated vector bundle is the tensor product bundle $T^*\boldsymbol{X} \otimes V\boldsymbol{P}/\boldsymbol{G}$ [9]. Local coordinates on $\boldsymbol{Y}$ are given by $x^\mu, g^{\mu\nu}, \omega^A_\mu$.

Let us consider the *gauge–natural* Lagrangian λ defined on the gauge–natural bundle $J_2Lor(\boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_1\boldsymbol{C}$:

$$\lambda = \lambda_H(g^{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu}) + \lambda_{YM}(g^{\mu\nu}, F^A_{\mu\nu})\,, \tag{22}$$

where $\lambda_H = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sqrt{g}g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Einstein Lagrangian, $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the (formal) Ricci tensor of the metric g given by $R_{\alpha\beta} := R^\mu_{\alpha\mu\beta} = D_\mu\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - D_\beta\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\mu} + \gamma^\mu_{\nu\mu}\gamma^\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \gamma^\mu_{\nu\beta}\gamma^\nu_{\alpha\mu}$, with $\gamma^\mu_{\nu\beta} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\alpha}(D_\nu g_{\beta\alpha} - D_\alpha g_{\nu\beta} + D_\beta g_{\alpha\nu})$ the (formal) Levi–Civita connection of g, $\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{|det(g^{\mu\nu})|}$, κ is a constant and $\lambda_{YM}(g_{\mu\nu}, F^A_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{g}F_A^{\lambda\gamma}F^A_{\lambda\gamma}$ is the (gauge) Yang–Mills Lagrangian. Here $F_A^{\lambda\gamma} = k_{AB}g^{\lambda\alpha}g^{\gamma\beta}F^B_{\alpha\beta}$.

Notice that in this case $\boldsymbol{Y}_\zeta = J_2Lor(\boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\boldsymbol{X}}{\times} J_1\boldsymbol{C}$ and $(r, k) = (3, 2)$.

Let Ξ be a generator of automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{P}$. From (17) and (18), by means of (19)–(21), we get

$$\epsilon^\sigma(\lambda, \Xi_h) = \epsilon^\sigma(\lambda_H, \Xi_h) + \epsilon^\sigma(\lambda_{YM}, \Xi_h)\,, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\epsilon^\sigma(\lambda_H, \Xi_h) = \frac{1}{\kappa}\sqrt{g}(R^\sigma_\beta - Rg^\sigma_\beta)\xi^\beta + \nabla_\mu[\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2\kappa}(\nabla^\sigma\xi^\mu - \nabla^\mu\xi^\sigma)]\,.$$

and

$$\epsilon^\sigma(\lambda_{YM}, \Xi_h) = (2p_A^{\mu\sigma}\pounds_{\Xi_h}\omega^A_\mu - \lambda_{YM}\xi^\sigma) = -\sqrt{g}(F_A^{\mu\sigma}F^A_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}F_A^{\mu\rho}F^A_{\mu\rho}\delta^\sigma_\nu)\xi^\nu\,.$$

R is the scalar curvature and $p_A^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}F_A^{\mu\nu}$. Here and in the sequel ∇_μ denotes the (formal) covariant metric derivative with respect to g. As it is usual in General

Relativity, all expressions in terms of D_μ have an equivalent counterpart in terms of ∇_μ.

A "horizontal" superpotential is given by:

$$\eta_h^{\sigma\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{4\kappa}(\nabla^\sigma \xi^\mu - \nabla^\mu \xi^\sigma)\,, \tag{24}$$

which is essentially the Komar superpotential [18].

Furthermore, we have

$$\epsilon^\sigma(\lambda_{YM}, \Xi_v) = -2p_A^{\mu\sigma}\nabla_\mu \xi_v^A = -\nabla_\mu(-2p_A^{\mu\sigma}\xi_v^A) + 2\nabla_\mu p_A^{\mu\sigma}\xi_v^A\,. \tag{25}$$

Then there exists a "vertical" superpotential, given by:

$$\eta_v^{\mu\nu} = p_A^{\mu\nu}\xi_v^A = -\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2}F_A^{\mu\nu}\xi_v^A\,.$$

Remark 18 Notice that here the splitting of the current and of the superpotential is due to the fact that the bundle $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is split from the beginning. □

Acknowledgments. Thanks are due to M. Ferraris, I. Kolář and D. Krupka for interesting discussions. Special thanks are due to R. Vitolo for helpful comments. The authors would also like to thank the referee for useful suggestions.

References

[1] D.J. Eck: Gauge–natural bundles and generalized gauge theories, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **247** (1981) 1–48.

[2] L. Fatibene: Formalismo gauge–naturale per le teorie di campo classiche. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Torino (1999).

[3] M. Ferraris: Fibered Connections and Global Poincaré–Cartan Forms in Higher–Order Calculus of Variations, in: *Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. and Appl.* (Nové Město na Moravě, 1983); D. Krupka ed.; J. E. Purkyně University (Brno, 1984) 61–91.

[4] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia: The Lagrangian Approach to Conserved Quantities in General Relativity, in: *Mechanics, Analysis and Geometry: 200 Years after Lagrange*; M. Francaviglia ed.; Elsevier Science Publishers B. V. (Amsterdam, 1991), 451–488.

[5] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia, C. Reina: Sur les fibrés d'objects géométriques et leurs applications physiques, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **38** (4) (1983) 371–383.

[6] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia, O. Robutti: Energy and Superpotentials in Gravitational Theories, in: *Atti del VI convegno nazionale di Relatività Generale e Fisica della Gravitazione*, (Firenze, 1984); M. Modugno ed.; Pitagora Editrice (Bologna, 1986) 137–150.

[7] M. Francaviglia, M. Palese, R. Vitolo: Symmetries in finite order variational sequences, to appear in *Czech. Math. Journ.*.

[8] M. Francaviglia, M. Palese, R. Vitolo: Superpotentials in variational sequences, *Proc. VII Conf. Diff. Geom. and Appl., Satellite Conf. of ICM in Berlin* (Brno 1998); I. Kolář *et al.* eds.; Masaryk University in Brno (Czech Republic) 1999, 469–480.

[9] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, R. Vitolo: The Einstein–Yang–Mills Equations, *Gen. Rel. and Grav.* **23** (6) (1991) 641–659.

[10] M. Horák, I. Kolář: On the Higher Order Poincaré–Cartan Forms, *Czech. Math. Journ.*, **33** (108) (1983) 467–475.

[11] J. Janyška: Natural and Gauge–Natural Operators on the Space of Linear Connections on a Vector Bundle, *Proc. Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* (Brno, 1989); J. Janyška, D. Krupka eds.; World Scientific (Singapore, 1990) 58–68.

[12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: *Foundations of Differential Geometry* vol. **I**, Interscience Publishers (John Wiley & Sons, 1963).

[13] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor, J. Slovák: *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, (Springer–Verlag, N.Y., 1993).

[14] I. Kolář: On some operations with connections, *Math. Nachr.*, **69** (1975) 297–306.

[15] I. Kolář: Prolongations of generalized connections, *Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, (Differential Geometry, Budapest, 1979) **31** (1979) 317–325.

[16] I. Kolář: A Geometrical Version of the Higher Order Hamilton Formalism in Fibred Manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **1** (2) (1984) 127–137.

[17] I. Kolář: Some Geometric Aspects of the Higher Order Variational Calculus, *Geom. Meth. in Phys., Proc. Diff. Geom. and its Appl.*, (Nové Město na Moravě, 1983); D. Krupka ed.; J. E. Purkyně University (Brno, 1984) 155–166.

[18] A. Komar: Covariant Conservation Laws in General Relativity, *Phys. Rev.* **113** (1959) (3) 934–936.

[19] D. Krupka: A Setting for Generally Invariant Lagrangian Structures in Tensor Bundles, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sc., Ser. Sc. Math., Astr. et Phys.* **XXII** (9) (1974) 967–972.

[20] D. Krupka: Variational Sequences on Finite Order Jet Spaces, *Proc. Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* (Brno, 1989); J. Janyška, D. Krupka eds.; World Scientific (Singapore, 1990) 236–254.

[21] D. Krupka: Topics in the Calculus of Variations: Finite Order Variational Sequences, *Proc. Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* (Opava, 1993) 473–495.

[22] D. Krupka, J. Janyška: Lectures on Differential Invariants, Univerzita J. E. Purkyně V Brně (1990).

[23] L. Mangiarotti, M. Modugno: Fibered Spaces, Jet Spaces and Connections for Field Theories, in *Proc. Int. Meet. on Geom. and Phys.*; M. Modugno ed.; Pitagora Editrice (Bologna, 1983) 135–165.

[24] M. Palese: Geometric Foundations of the Calculus of Variations. Variational Sequences, Symmetries and Jacobi Morphisms. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Torino (2000).

[25] D.J. Saunders: The Geometry of Jet Bundles, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1989).

[26] R. Vitolo: On Different Geometric Formulations of Lagrangian Formalism, *Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* **10** (1999) 225–255.

[27] R. Vitolo: Finite Order Lagrangian Bicomplexes, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **125** (1) (1999) 321–333.

[28] R O. Wells: Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds, *GTM* n. **65**, Springer–Verlag (Berlin, 1980).